



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 09/715,335 10005281-1 11/17/2000 Kevin Lefebvre 6005 **EXAMINER** 7590 11/10/2004 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY TUNG, KEE M **Intellectual Property Administration ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 2676

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/715,335	LEFEBVRE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kee M Tung	2676
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) owill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	une 2004.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-17,31-34,39-42,53-56 and 58-79</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-17,31-34,39-42,53-56,58-69 and 74-79</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>70</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>71-73</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	-
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to by the	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)
		<u></u>

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed 6/25/04 has been considered in preparing this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 70 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted Prior Art, Fig. 2 of the drawings and the respective areas of the specification (hereinafter "Prior Art") in view of DiNicole et al (5,394,524).

Prior Art teaches a graphical display method (Fig. 2) comprising receiving a plurality of graphics commands from a graphics application (17); a SLS Server (45) for determining and selecting and/or forwarding the graphics commands to a plurality of graphics pipelines (36-39) for rendering said graphics commands and storing the rendered graphics data into a local frame buffer (46-49) and displaying in their respective display devices (31-34). However, Prior art fails to explicitly teach or suggest, determining and selecting based on the determining, 2D graphics data to a first graphics pipeline and 3D graphics data to other graphics pipelines. These are what DiNicole teaches. DiNicole teaches a method and apparatus for processing two graphics data streams (a highly interactive 2D data stream and a computationally

Art Unit: 2676

intensive 3D data stream) in parallel (title and abstract and Fig. 3) comprising a 2D subsystem (301) and a 3D subsystem (303) includes a plurality of processing pipelines or nodes (305). An attribute processor (306) performs preprocessing of the incoming 2D and 3D data streams and dispatches to the 2D or 3D subsystem as appropriate. A reordering device (322) combines the processed 3D data from the processing nodes (305) into a single 3D data stream and merges with the processed 2D data stream from the 2D subsystem to form a single combined data stream. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to combine the teachings of DiNicole into the system and method of Prior Art in order to improve graphical computation and more efficiently process the graphics data stream as taught by DiNicole. Therefore, at least claim 70 would have been obvious.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-10, 12-17, 31-34, 39-42, 53-56, 58-69 and 74-79 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 71-73 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 70 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2676

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kee M Tung whose telephone number is 703-305-9660. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 5:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 703-308-6829. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2676

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kee M Tung
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2678